

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,684	12/17/2001	Leo Lauderbach	4452-447	2955
7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas C. Pontani, Esq.			KRAMER, DEVON C	
Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue				
New York, NY 10176			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/024,684	LAUDERBACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devon C Kramer	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed vs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	uly 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4 and 8-16 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17-26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kowall (5531498) in view of McConnell (4854541) and further in view of Licking et al (5839230).

In reference to claim 1, Kowall provides a piston-cylinder assembly (figure 1) comprising: a cylinder (40) having an axis, the cylinder filled with gas; a piston rod (46) arranged for axial movement in the cylinder and projecting axially from the cylinder, the piston rod being fixed to a piston in the cylinder (inherent) so the gas exerts a restoring force on the piston rod when the piston is moved relative to the cylinder, the piston inherently dividing the cylinder into two working chambers, an the piston rod inherently having some type of guide; an adjusting device (38) comprising an actuator and a force transmitting element (92, 94) which is driven by the actuator to move the piston rod relative to the cylinder. Kowall lacks the extension or force-transmitting element attached to the piston.

in reference to claim 4, it is inherent that Kowall provides a guide for the piston rod.

In reference to claims 5-7, Kowall lacks the extension claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,684 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

McConnell teaches an extension (82) having a guide (98) attached to the piston, having a stop sleeve (96) for limiting movement of the piston rod relative to the cylinder connected to the extension by a threaded connection (102). Please note that the extension and the sleeve appear to be in contact and therefor connected.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have attached the force-transmitting element of Kowall to the piston as taught by McConnell merely because both ways are alternate equivalent means to perform the same operation and it is positively connected to the piston to ensure operation. Please note that McConnell seems to provide the same operation as the instant invention.

Both Kowall and McConnell lack the teaching of a seal for the extension.

It is known in the art to seal reciprocating devices such as piston rods and cables when they exit a pressure vessel such as a shock or damper. Licking teaches a cable seal (116).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of have provided the device of Kowall as modified by McConnell with a seal as taught by Licking et al in order to prevent loss of fluid from the cylinder.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3) Claims 17-26 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

4) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Please note that McConnell has been used for the teaching of attaching a cable to a piston.

#### Conclusion

5) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK